

# **ELECTION REPORT 2025**

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY MADRAS

*Democracy is not the triumph of order - it is the art of surviving disorder with dignity.*

## **Detailed Report on**

Students' General Elections 2025 (February 2nd -March 3rd)

SLC Speaker Elections 2025-26 (March 11th- March 22nd)

Special Elections (Institute Sports Secretary) 2025 (March 19th - April 6th)

**January 28th 2025-06th April 2025**

*from the desk of*

**STUDENT ELECTION COMMISSION (2024-25)**

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, MADRAS

CHENNAI, TAMIL NADU 600036

## *from the desk of the Chief Commissioner 2024-25*

### **Foreword: Upholding Integrity in Challenging Times**

The Student Election Commission (SEC) witnessed an unprecedented influx of communications during this election cycle, notably in the form of complaints lodged against candidates, non-candidates, and, in certain cases, the SEC itself. These ranged from concerns over delayed responses during the Soapbox period to dissatisfaction with the Commission's sanctions, disqualification decisions, and other adjudications. While the increase in volume may be interpreted by some as symptomatic of dysfunction, a more accurate reading would recognize it as evidence of heightened engagement—albeit sometimes strategically weaponized under the guise of procedural grievance.

Between February 4th and March 3rd, the SEC received approximately 315 emails, spanning complaints, notifications of alleged violations, documentation issues, procedural clarifications, and other inquiries. Notably, the most intense influx occurred between the start of the Soapbox and the conclusion of Polling Day—a mere 9-day period—during which approximately 155 emails were received, the majority being complaints.

Each complaint was addressed with procedural integrity, and decisions were made only after due consultation with the Faculty In-Charges, namely the Chief Election Officer and Deputy Election Officer. Where necessary, the Commission sought the advice of relevant institutional stakeholders, including (but not limited to) the Dean, Deputy Registrar (in matters related to media or public communications), faculty advisors for team/sphere-specific insights, and professors involved in constitutional interpretation and governance frameworks.

All decisions were taken with careful attention to procedural propriety and a clear intention to minimize type I errors. We recognize that not all outcomes were met with approval—but approval was never the metric. Adherence to due process was.

A significant portion of the complaints received pertained to violations of the Election Manual and the Model Code of Conduct committed by individuals not contesting in the elections. These infractions included campaigning during restricted periods, public endorsements or defamatory statements issued by current position-holders, and other forms of indirect electoral influence. Each case was reviewed with attention to both the severity of the act and the recurrence of the individual's involvement. Sanctions were proportionate—ranging from formal warnings to the revocation of moderation privileges during the Soapbox period. In cases involving clear and targeted defamation, stricter measures such as suspension from current roles or mandated public apologies were imposed.

A troubling trend emerged in the form of anonymous defamation, often carried out through meme pages or social media handles deliberately crafted for cyberbullying. These accounts

rarely presented verifiable information; rather, they trafficked in emotional manipulation—be it outrage, sympathy, or exaggerated admiration. The objective, quite plainly, was narrative control. Several of these pages were found to have paid for promotional services in an attempt to amplify reach through algorithmic manipulation—amounting, in effect, to covert campaigning without accountability.

Despite the seriousness of these infractions, institutional redress was limited. The anonymous nature of these accounts, combined with the legal and temporal constraints surrounding cybercrime reporting, severely hampered the SEC’s ability to take action. It must be noted: the inability to act should not be mistaken for indifference. Rather, it is a reflection of the systemic limitations that anonymous digital malfeasance thrives upon—by design.

A recurring and contentious issue—most frequently associated with Executive Council aspirants but less so within Hostel and Department Council elections—was the trend of *pre-campaigning* under the guise of casual content. These instances often involved candidates posting photos, videos, posters, or other forms of visual media prior to the official campaign window. While such material did not always feature explicit slogans such as “Vote for me,” the pattern was clear: calculated visibility-building under the defense of plausible deniability.

Typical responses to such complaints echoed similar refrains—“I never asked for votes,” or “I’m merely sharing my experience”—effectively skirting the boundaries of the Election Manual while still leveraging student attention. Recognizing the growing ambiguity, the SEC issued a formal clarification via email, outlining what constitutes promotional material under both letter and spirit of the Code.

It is important to note the structural limitation here: until the closure of the Nomination Window and formal validation of a candidate’s status, any student technically remains a General Student Body (GSB) member. Sanctioning pre-nomination behavior therefore poses an administrative paradox—punishing potentiality. As a result, actionable enforcement commenced only once the individual was confirmed as a *valid* or *final nominee*.

Several complaints also highlighted the premature creation of campaign-specific social media handles, the release of videos emphasizing leadership experience, and promotional stories or posts well before permissible timelines. Prior to the formal release of the Election Manual and Model Code of Conduct, the SEC responded with caution—issuing warnings, understanding that students lacked access to the finalized rules.

However, once the guidelines were released, violations became easier to categorize and address. Promotional acts—such as videos featuring conversations with students, direct calls to action, content referencing nomination processes (e.g., HFC filings), or the use of acronym-based branding—were treated as explicit infractions. Each such violation was documented and

contributed to the candidate's record. Repeat offenses were treated with greater gravity in accordance with the cumulative principle of electoral misconduct.

The Student Election Commission (SEC) received a subset of complaints alleging inaction or selective enforcement on issues raised by and against certain candidates. While transparency is a value the SEC upholds, it must be made unequivocally clear that in instances where candidates themselves requested confidentiality—particularly in matters involving personal trauma or discrimination—our hands were tied. The SEC cannot, and will not, breach confidentiality to vindicate itself in the court of public perception. We extend this as a matter of principle, not convenience. Nonetheless, we categorically affirm that necessary and timely interventions were made—both by the SEC and the IITM Administration—towards the well-being and support of students who faced distress due to the electoral process. Beyond what is publicly visible, substantial accommodation and recourse were provided to affected individuals, in full recognition of the emotional and logistical toll the election season may exact.

Another wave of identical emails—over 26 in number—was received demanding reconsideration of the nomination status of candidates who had been rendered ineligible due to prior disciplinary actions. These communications, nearly verbatim in content, alleged favoritism, bias, and non-compliance with administrative directives. The SEC is unambiguous on this point: eligibility is a matter of record, not rhetoric. A student under active disciplinary sanction, or one lacking documented exoneration, is by definition ineligible—regardless of how many apologies are written, how much pressure is exerted, or how frequently intentions are declared as reformed. The Election Commission is not a forum for negotiated morality.

In cases involving the misrepresentation of credentials within manifestos and feasibility documents, the Student Election Commission (SEC) has adopted a two-pronged approach in line with the Election Manual and Model Code of Conduct. These documents, which constitute the regulatory framework for both candidates and the SEC, clearly mandate that any material submitted for public scrutiny—especially manifestos—must truthfully reflect the candidate's actual achievements. Misleading statements, inflated statistics, or fictitious claims are not merely frowned upon—they are categorized as violations. Upon receipt of credible complaints concerning the dissemination of false or misleading information within candidate campaign materials, the Student Election Commission (SEC) has acted decisively to preserve the integrity of the electoral process. Where discrepancies have been verified, the SEC has communicated the relevant facts to the General Student Body (GSB), thereby ensuring that the electorate remains informed and empowered to make voting decisions with full awareness of the conduct and credibility of the candidates in question.

Recognizing that the official campaigning period constitutes a critical window for voter engagement, the SEC has imposed calibrated sanctions on violators based on the severity and frequency of their infractions. These have included temporal restrictions on

campaigning—proportional to the violation and adjusted to reflect the time-of-day relevance of the breach. Moreover, given that email remains the only standardized and universally accessible channel through which candidates may reach all 11,000+ members of the student body, the SEC has exercised its authority to suspend mail outreach privileges for those found in breach of ethical and procedural guidelines. These sanctions are not arbitrary—they are corrective. They do not silence candidates; they merely deny the privilege of misusing institutional platforms for misleading the electorate. The SEC’s role is not to shield candidates from the consequences of their own actions, nor to indulge in procedural theater to appease every appeal for leniency. The Commission’s duty is to ensure that elections remain free, fair, and informed.

With regard to the oft-repeated demand that the SEC pre-verify every claim listed in every manifesto, it is necessary to underscore the following: the SEC provides detailed procedural guidelines for the preparation of manifestos, explicitly instructing candidates to submit only accurate, verifiable information. However, the scope of credential verification is logistically and institutionally complex. The more than 200 students filing nominations span various hostels, departments, and spheres, and their listed achievements often pertain to institutional positions, council contributions, or event-level involvement. Validating such credentials would require cross-verification with multiple stakeholders: sphere heads, cores, secretaries, faculty advisors, hostel wardens, department heads, and various councils—each functioning under separate hierarchies and timelines. Under the current structure, and in light of both time and resource limitations, exhaustive verification by the SEC is operationally unfeasible. Instead, the process is intentionally participatory. Should any member of the GSB flag a specific falsehood, the SEC promptly investigates the claim and, if substantiated, initiates appropriate action. In short: while the SEC governs the process, the GSB governs the judgment. If a candidate’s credibility is in question, the SEC ensures transparency; the electorate decides the consequence.

A recurring pattern observed during the election period has been the tendency of certain individuals to bypass the established grievance redressal mechanism by directing their complaints not to the Student Election Commission (SEC) or its designated Election Officers, but instead to senior administrative authorities such as the Dean or other institutional figures. While the intent behind this detour remains speculative, the process remains unaffected. In instances where the subject matter of such complaints falls squarely within the ambit of general institute rule violations, the complaint is duly redirected to the appropriate statutory body—be it the Institute Disciplinary Committee, the Hostel Disciplinary Committee, or any other designated authority. However, in all other cases where the alleged violations pertain specifically to the conduct of elections—as governed by the Election Manual and the Model Code of Conduct—the SEC Grievance Committee retains full and exclusive jurisdiction. Unless the alleged offense is explicitly listed under the schedules of penalties for said administrative committees, such matters fall entirely within the purview of the SEC. Attempts to route around this framework do not confer any procedural advantage, nor do they alter the locus of authority. The Election

Commission adjudicates election-related matters. Administrative escalation does not override that mandate—it only delays it.

We have observed considerable confusion among the General Student Body (GSB), and, more concerning, among certain office bearers who are expected to possess at least a working knowledge of the Students' Constitution. A particularly recurring issue is the failure to distinguish between two distinct constitutional bodies: the Student Ethics and Constitution Commission (SECC) and the Student Election Commission (SEC). To clarify—yet again—the SECC functions as a regulatory and quasi-judicial authority, deriving its mandate directly from the Students' Constitution. It operates with the procedural gravity of a student judiciary, conducting investigative hearings, arbitration proceedings, and issuing verdicts based on established judicial doctrines. Its role mirrors that of a court in the student governance ecosystem. In contrast, the SEC is an election-conducting body, responsible solely for the smooth execution of elections. It functions strictly under the Election Manual and Model Code of Conduct, with procedures tailored for logistical governance, not judicial intervention. Expecting the SEC to behave like a courtroom is both constitutionally incorrect and operationally infeasible.

This conflation has had real consequences. The Executive Council 2024–25, for example, has repeatedly breached the Mail Moderation Policy, sending out communications during peak election periods that are politically charged and clearly designed to provoke unrest. These mails—often couched in rhetoric meant to discredit the SEC when outcomes prove inconvenient—do not reflect a principled challenge but rather a fundamental misunderstanding (or willful disregard) of institutional boundaries. That the student members involved are part of both bodies does not render the two institutions synonymous. Overlap in personnel is not equivalent in function. Reiterating: the SEC conducts elections; it does not adjudicate ethics complaints. The SECC interprets constitutional violations; it does not oversee campaigning schedules.

Institutional literacy is not optional. It is a prerequisite for holding office in a constitutional student democracy.

Throughout this election cycle, we observed a marked shift in the nature of attempts to undermine the electoral process. Historically, disruptions stemmed primarily from candidates and their supporters targeting one another with personal attacks or unverified allegations. However, this year, a disturbing deviation emerged. Rather than merely focusing on adversarial candidates, certain individuals or groups directed concerted efforts toward undermining and discrediting the Election Commission itself. These tactics—ranging from misinformation and misleading public statements to coordinated campaigns—present a novel challenge to the credibility and impartiality of the Commission. More troubling, such actions threaten the very trust that the student body places in the electoral process.

This pattern, unfortunately, mirrors larger global trends where attacks on electoral institutions are increasingly weaponized as a tool to erode public confidence and destabilize democratic processes. The implications of this shift are profound; a direct assault on the integrity of the institution entrusted with ensuring free and fair elections carries the potential to sow division, confusion, and disenfranchisement among voters.

In the face of such challenges, the Election Commission remains resolute in its commitment to upholding transparency, fairness, and the highest standards of electoral integrity. We acknowledge that maintaining the trust of the student body and all stakeholders is paramount. To this end, we pledge to confront such challenges with unwavering vigilance, transparency, and an unyielding adherence to the established rules, ethical standards, and legal guidelines that govern our operations.

We trust that the GSB will recognize the importance of safeguarding these principles, not merely for the sake of the election at hand, but for the long-term health of our democratic processes.

With gratitude for the opportunity provided to serve and full trust in the electoral process,

Signing Off

***Meenakshi Anoop***

## Table of Contents

<b>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY.....</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>EVENTS &amp; ACTIVITIES.....</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>Special Voter ID Camp at IIT Madras.....</b>	<b>12</b>
Event Overview.....	12
Collaboration and Support.....	13
Process and Accessibility.....	13
Participation and Impact.....	14
<b>Election Orientation for Student General Elections.....</b>	<b>15</b>
Event Structure and Proceedings.....	15
<b>Social Campaign - Prabhaav.....</b>	<b>16</b>
Fundraising Session.....	18
<b>Election Campaign – Double Tap for Democracy.....</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>Campaign Launch.....</b>	<b>19</b>
Pre-Launch Gathering.....	19
Formal Launch at CLT.....	19
Opening Sequence.....	20
<b>Unveiling of Prabhaav: Heart to Heart – Every Beat Matters.....</b>	<b>20</b>
Keynote Addresses and Impactful Dialogues.....	21
Dr. Soumya Swaminathan.....	21
Dr. Prahalathan K K.....	22
Mr. Ranganathan Thota.....	22
Stakeholder Vision and Student Engagement.....	22
Game Stalls @ Himalaya Lawns.....	23
<b>5K Charity Run: Heartbeats for a Cause.....</b>	<b>24</b>
Event Organization and Launch.....	24
Route and Logistics.....	25
Participation and Inclusivity.....	25
On-Ground Experience.....	25
Impact and Legacy.....	26
<b>STUDENTS’ GENERAL ELECTIONS 2025.....</b>	<b>28</b>
Official Declaration of Results.....	30
Statistics.....	31
Department-wise Statistics.....	31
Hostel-wise Statistics.....	32
Program-wise Statistics.....	32

Gender-wise Statistics.....	32
Mode-wise Statistics.....	33
<b>STUDENT LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL SPEAKER ELECTIONS.....</b>	<b>34</b>
<b>SPECIAL ELECTIONS 2025- INSTITUTE SPORTS SECRETARY 2025-26 Post.....</b>	<b>35</b>
Background and Vacancy Declaration.....	35
Fresh Notification and Election Preparations.....	35
Special Elections Code 2025.....	35
1. Candidate Eligibility.....	36
2. Campaigning Methods and Restrictions.....	36
Digital and Social Media Campaigning.....	36
3. Posters and Publicity Material.....	36
4. Video and Media Policy.....	37
5. Social Media Policy.....	37
Polling Day Details.....	37
Voter Turnout and Statistics.....	37
Feedback.....	38
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....</b>	<b>39</b>

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This is a brief report on the Students' General Elections 2025 (SGE 2025), summarizing the entire election process. The report highlights the various initiatives and campaigns undertaken by the Student Election Commission (SEC) 2024–25 under the theme “**Double Tap for Democracy: #WeVote**”, aimed at increasing awareness, participation, and student engagement across all levels of the electoral process.

The campaign title “**Double Tap for Democracy: #We Vote**” was conceptualized not only to reflect the digital-first lifestyle of today’s student body but also to reinforce the idea that just like a double tap on a screen signifies attention and appreciation, so too does every vote count in a democracy.

SGE 2025 was a mix of landmark achievements and learning opportunities. The **Speaker Elections** saw a historic **100% voter turnout**, marking a major success while on the other hand, the **ISS Special Elections** registered a record low of **10% turnout**, highlighting the need for sustained voter engagement throughout the academic year.

## INTRODUCTION

The Students' General Elections at IIT Madras form the foundation of campus democracy, empowering students to elect their representatives across departments, hostels, and programs. SGE 2025 commenced officially with the **Election Notification** released by the **DoST Office on 29th January 2025**. With the campaign slogan **#WeVote**, the SEC aimed to make every student a part of the democratic process, not just as voters, but as informed, engaged citizens of the future.

## EVENTS & ACTIVITIES

### Special Voter ID Camp at IIT Madras

The Student Election Commission (SEC) of IIT Madras, in collaboration with the Staff Club of IIT Madras and the District Election Office, Chennai, organized a Special Voter ID Camp with a vision that extended far beyond campus politics. The SEC's objective was to demonstrate that voting is not only a right but an accessible process, and that institutions like IIT Madras can play a direct role in strengthening democracy at the national level. By bringing voter registration services directly to the campus community, the SEC aimed to make the process convenient and approachable for all, reinforcing the idea that every single new voter is a significant contribution to the democratic fabric of the country. As the SEC, we believe that if even one person decides to register to vote because of our efforts, it is a huge achievement. Through this initiative, we were able to facilitate this process for approximately 400 individuals—a meaningful impact.



### Event Overview

**Dates and Timings:** The camp was conducted on the 30th and 31st of January 2025, from 10:30 AM to 4:00 PM on both days.

### Venues:

- **Quark (above Zaitoon):** The primary registration site for students, chosen for its accessibility and central location within campus.

- **Community Hall:** Dedicated to residents, faculty, staff, and their families, ensuring that the entire IIT Madras community—including security guards, research scholars, faculty spouses, and freshers—could participate.

## Collaboration and Support

- **Tamil Nadu State Election Commission:**  
The camp was supported by 11 specialized teams from the Tamil Nadu State Election Commission, each comprising 3–4 officers. These teams provided expert, hands-on assistance for voter registration, updates, and corrections, ensuring that every participant received personalized guidance.



- **Student Election Commission & Staff Club, IITM:**  
Members of the SEC and of the Staff Club of IITM were present throughout the event, actively supporting participants with documentation, digital form filling, and clarifying procedural queries. Our presence was pivotal in streamlining the process and making it user-friendly.

## Process and Accessibility

- **Digital Registration:** Participants were guided through the Voter Helpline Website and Mobile Application. Students and other attendees created accounts using their mobile

numbers and OTP verification, then filled out Form 6 for new voter registration or submitted requests for updates/corrections.

- **Nationwide Reach:** The process allowed students from all over India to register, as they could provide proof of their permanent address regardless of their home state.
- **Timely Delivery:** Upon successful submission, participants were informed that digital voter IDs would be issued within 30 days, and physical cards delivered to their permanent addresses within 45 days.

## Participation and Impact

- **Diverse Engagement:** The camp saw enthusiastic participation from all segments of the IIT Madras community, including students, faculty, staff, research scholars, security personnel, and family members. This diversity underscored the camp's inclusivity and broad reach.
- **Registrations Processed:** Over the two days, approximately **400 registrations** and updates were completed at the IIT Madras booth.
- **Empowering the Community:** By facilitating on-the-spot registration and updates, the SEC helped overcome common barriers to youth and first-time voter participation, such as confusion about the process, lack of time, and logistical hurdles. This aligns with national and international best practices for increasing student voter turnout and civic engagement.

The SEC's initiative was rooted in the belief that educational institutions have a responsibility not only to foster leadership within their campuses but also to contribute to the democratic process at large. By making voter registration accessible and demystifying the process, the SEC aimed to instill a culture of civic participation that extends beyond IIT Madras. The camp's success demonstrates that with the right support and outreach, even complex government processes can be made approachable and inclusive for young people and campus communities.

This event serves as a model for how universities can bridge the gap between students and the democratic process, ensuring that every eligible individual has the opportunity to participate in the nation's elections. The SEC's efforts have set a precedent for future collaborations and initiatives, reinforcing IIT Madras's role as not just a center of academic excellence, but also a contributor to India's democracy. The Special Voter ID Camp at IIT Madras was more than an administrative exercise—it was a testament to the power of proactive civic engagement. By directly enabling 400 members of the campus community to register or update their voter IDs, the SEC has made a tangible contribution to the democratic process.

# **Election Orientation for Student General Elections**

The Election Orientation for the Student General Elections, organized by the Student Election Commission (SEC), was held at the Central Lecture Theatre (CLT) on 31st January 2024. The primary objective of the session was to educate prospective candidates about electoral procedures, their responsibilities, and the principles of fair election practices, thereby laying the foundation for a transparent and accountable election process.

## **Event Structure and Proceedings**

### **1. Opening and Attendance**

- The orientation commenced at 20:45 hours in the presence of the Election Commissioners.
- Despite the importance of the session, turnout was notably low, with only a small fraction of prospective candidates and interested students attending.

### **2. Institutional Roles Explained**

- The distinct functions of the Student Election Commission (SEC) and the Student Ethics & Constitution Commission (SECC) were outlined. Emphasis was placed on their collaborative responsibility to uphold a fair, transparent, and ethical electoral process.

### **3. Overview of Positions**

- The positions open for election were introduced:
  - **Executive Council:** Overseeing institute-wide student governance.
  - **Hostel Council:** Managing hostel affairs and student welfare.
  - **Departmental Council:** Representing departmental academic and extracurricular interests.
- Mr. Vignesh, current HS Department Legislator (R), provided detailed insights into the roles and commitments expected from Legislators in the SLC.

### **4. Eligibility and Nomination Process**

- The eligibility criteria for candidates were explained, including required qualifications, conduct expectations, and the nomination process.
- Detailed instructions were given for submitting undertakings and bonafide documents, which were to be uploaded as a single file on the nomination portal.
- Commissioners outlined the requirements for the statement of purpose, feasibility report, and manifesto submissions.

## **5. Interactive Q&A Session**

- A Q&A segment allowed attendees to seek clarifications, particularly regarding the voting process and candidate obligations. Commissioners addressed concerns and provided guidance to ensure all participants were well-informed.

The session concluded with a strong message on the importance of ethical leadership, student participation, and the need for accountability in student governance. The orientation served as a crucial platform to ensure all candidates and stakeholders understood their roles and responsibilities, promoting a culture of transparency and responsible governance. However, the low turnout highlighted the need for increased engagement and outreach in future orientations to ensure broader participation and awareness among the student body.

### **Social Campaign - Prabhaav**

*“Change begins when awareness meets action. This year, we didn’t just promote voting - we made it count.”*

The logo for 'Prabhaav' is rendered in a bold, black, sans-serif font. The word is written in lowercase letters. A thick horizontal line is positioned above the letters, starting from the left edge of the 'p' and extending past the right edge of the 'v'. The 'p' and 'v' have a distinctive shape, with the 'p' having a small loop at the top and the 'v' having a sharp, downward-pointing tip. The overall style is clean and modern.

For the first time in SEC's history, the commission ventured into a social impact campaign - not just to raise awareness about elections, but to ensure that each step toward the ballot box resonated far beyond campus boundaries. With this vision, we launched "**Prabhaav**" - meaning *Impact* - a flagship social initiative in collaboration with two remarkable NGOs: **Ekam Foundation** and **Bhumi**.

After hours of intense brainstorming, spirited debates, and purpose-driven conversation, we identified a cause that needed attention and empathy - **Kangaroo Mother Care (KMC)**, a powerful, low-cost intervention that enables skin-to-skin contact between premature babies and their mothers, improving survival and recovery rates. The Vice President of Ekam Foundation herself presented this issue to us, igniting the idea that would soon shape the soul of our campaign.

With this in mind, we titled the campaign:

**“Heart to Heart: Every Beat Counts.”**

A name that echoed not just in NICUs, but across our campus — turning awareness into empathy, and empathy into action.



- **NGO Partnerships:** SEC partnered with Ekam Foundation for subject expertise ensuring the campaign was grounded in real-world impact and broad student involvement.
- **Crowdfunding Platform:** To scale support for KMC, SEC collaborated with FuelADream.com, a leading crowdfunding platform, which streamlined the fundraising process and enabled transparent, digital giving.
- **Student Engagement:** The campaign was executed in close collaboration with the National Service Scheme (NSS) IIT Madras. NSS volunteers played a pivotal role, with the added incentive of earning academic credits upon successful completion of the

session and their crowdfunding efforts. This not only ensured high participation but also deepened student engagement with the cause.

## Fundraising Session



- Date and Venue: The flagship event was held on 20th February 2025 at the Class Room Complex (CRC), IIT Madras.
- Attendance: The session saw robust participation, with over 100 students in attendance, reflecting growing student interest in social impact initiatives.
- Keynote Speaker: The session was led by Mr. Ranganathan Thota, CEO of FuelADream.com, who delivered an engaging 1.5-hour talk. He addressed the nuances of crowdfunding for social causes, shared success stories, and provided practical guidance on mobilizing resources for impactful projects.
- Interactive Engagement: The session was highly interactive, with students actively reaching out to Mr. Ranganathan for further guidance, networking, and potential future collaborations on crowdfunding and social entrepreneurship.
- Administrative Endorsement: The Dean Students engaged in a conversation highlighting the transformative potential of crowdfunding platforms like FuelADream during the Launch—not only for social campaigns like KMC, but also for major campus events such as Shaastra and Saarang. This opened discussions on leveraging such platforms for broader institutional initiatives.

## Election Campaign – Double Tap for Democracy

*“In a world of scrolls and swipes, even a vote deserves a double tap.”*

Like every year, the SEC launched a dedicated election campaign to promote and encourage student participation in the **SGE '25**. But this year, the narrative was a fresh and contemporary spin - one that speaks the language of today's students.

The theme, **“Double Tap for Democracy,”** was a creative fusion of civic responsibility and digital culture. It was built on the idea that democracy isn't distant or outdated - it's right here, on our screens, in our voices, and at the heart of campus life. Just as we engage with content online, we must also engage with the process that defines how we live, lead, and learn together.

At the core of this campaign was the hashtag **#WeVote** - simple, powerful, and deliberately unembellished. It reflected how this generation values **clarity, authenticity, and directness**. No jargon. No fluff. Just a clear reminder that in a student democracy, your voice truly counts.

From Himalaya Lawns to Instagram stories, **#WeVote** became more than a campaign - it became a reminder that participation is cool, conscious, and crucial.

### Campaign Launch

#### **Pre-Launch Gathering**

The campaign launch for the Student General Elections 2025 and the flagship social initiative, Prabhaav, was executed with remarkable grandeur and purpose on 15 February 2025. The evening began with a high tea at the Bose Einstein Guesthouse, where the Student Election Commission (SEC) welcomed a distinguished gathering:

- Dr. Sathyanarayana N Gummadi (Dean Students, IIT Madras)
- Mr. Ranganathan Thota (Founder & CEO, FuelADream)
- Dr. Prahalathan K K (Founder, Bhumi Foundation)
- Ms. Subhashree (Vice President, Ekam Foundation)
- Student Election Commission

This gathering set the tone for a collaborative, high-impact campaign, bringing together thought leaders from the domains of health, social entrepreneurship, and student governance.

#### **Formal Launch at CLT**

The formal proceedings commenced at 7:00 PM at the Central Lecture Theatre (CLT), graced by the presence of Dr. Soumya Swaminathan, Former Chief Scientist of the World Health Organization (WHO), a globally renowned pediatrician and clinical scientist with over 40 years of experience in tuberculosis, HIV, and public health research. Dr. Swaminathan has served as

Secretary to the Government of India for Health Research, Director General of the Indian Council of Medical Research, and is currently Chairperson of the M S Swaminathan Research Foundation. Her career has been dedicated to translating research into impactful health programs and policies, with a special focus on maternal and child health.

## Opening Sequence

- The event began with an introduction to the purpose of the campaign, followed by the screening of the Election Campaign Teaser, which highlighted the theme for Student General Elections 2025: **Double Tap for Democracy #WeVote**.
- Esteemed guests were introduced and felicitated by the Dean Students with an angavastram and a memento, recognizing their contributions to social impact and public health.

## **Unveiling of Prabhaav: Heart to Heart – Every Beat Matters**

The Dean Students officially unveiled the social campaign, Prabhaav, and this year’s theme, Heart to Heart: Every Beat Matters, by revealing a large campaign standee. This moment marked the convergence of electoral participation and social responsibility, inviting the student body to join a movement that aspires to create lasting impact both on and beyond campus.

**FUEL A DREAM**

**EKAM FOUNDATION**

இந்திய தொழில்நுட்ப அகாடமி  
भारतीय प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान मद्रास  
Indian Institute of Technology Madras

**MAKE THE WORLD A BETTER PLACE WITH CROWDFUNDING!**

Come attend the masterclass on crowdfunding and you can actually save the lives of preterm babies who are underweight.

The first 28 days after the birth of a preterm baby is critical for their survival. Doctors advise the mother caregiver to adopt the Kangaroo Mother Care technique which involves early and prolonged skin-to-skin contact with the mother caregiver. However, holding a small baby against your naked body for 8-24 hours per day can be difficult.

Ekam Foundation, an NGO based in Chennai solves this problem through a unique Kangaroo Mother Care sling bag that keeps the baby securely in the correct position for providing effective skin-to-skin care. This technique will help lots of preterm babies survive (according to WHO and the National Library of Medicine).

This session will be hosted by Ranganath Thota - The Founder and CEO of Bengaluru based crowdfunding platform Fundakam.com. You learn about the science of crowdfunding and then register to take part in a LIVE crowdfunding campaign.

**TIME AND DATE**  
7PM ON 21ST FEBRUARY 2025

**SCAN TO REGISTER**

**MEENAKSHI**  
Chief Organizer IITM  
9778714164

**BEHJAD**  
Head of Social Impact IITM  
9021489120

**IITMADRAS**

## Keynote Addresses and Impactful Dialogues



### **Dr. Soumya Swaminathan**

Dr. Swaminathan delivered a powerful keynote, drawing on her extensive experience in global health and her pioneering work in maternal and child care. She spoke in detail about the benefits of Kangaroo Mother Care (KMC)—a practice she has championed internationally for its effectiveness in improving the survival and recovery rates of premature infants. Dr. Swaminathan emphasized how such student-led initiatives are vital to bridging gaps in healthcare delivery and fostering a culture of empathy and action among youth.

Her presence, given her demanding schedule and global commitments, was a profound honor for the IIT Madras community. The SEC and all attendees expressed deep gratitude for her insightful address and her support for the campaign's vision.

### **Dr. Prahalathan K K**

As the founder of Bhumi, one of India's largest youth volunteer organizations, and a strategy advisor to Ekam Foundation, Dr. Prahalathan spoke passionately about the power of youth participation in nation-building. He shared the inspiring journey of Bhumi and Ekam, highlighting their grassroots initiatives in education, healthcare, and disaster response. Dr. Prahalathan underscored the transformative potential of student engagement and invited IITM students to become active contributors to social change, reinforcing the campaign's ethos of collective impact.

### **Mr. Ranganathan Thota**

Mr. Ranganathan, founder and CEO of FuelADream, captivated the audience with his talk on the future of crowdfunding for social good. He showcased unique devices and prototypes that had been successfully funded through his platform, sparking curiosity and enthusiasm among students. Many attendees approached him after the event to explore the potential of crowdfunding for IITM club activities, technical festivals, and other student-led initiatives. His address demonstrated how technology and community engagement can democratize access to resources, amplifying the reach and effectiveness of social campaigns.

### **Stakeholder Vision and Student Engagement**

Each stakeholder shared their vision for the campaign, calling on the student community to participate in an unprecedented movement for social good. Their messages resonated deeply, inspiring students to see themselves not just as voters, but as changemakers whose actions can ripple far beyond campus boundaries. The campaign's launch at CLT and Himalaya Lawns was more than a ceremonial event—it was a clarion call for empathy, action, and democratic participation. The synergy between student leaders, established NGOs, and global health experts grounded the campaign in both inspiration and practical impact, setting a benchmark for future student initiatives at IIT Madras.

### **Game Stalls @ Himalaya Lawns**



While the formal launch event was unfolding at CLT with distinguished guests and keynote addresses, a vibrant informal gathering was simultaneously taking place at the peak rush area of Himalaya Lawns. Here, students were actively engaged in a variety of interactive games, including Jenga and others, creating a lively and inclusive atmosphere. Throughout the evening, the themes of the social campaign "Prabhaav – Heart to Heart: Every Beat Matters" and the

election campaign "Double Tap for Democracy #WeVote" were prominently displayed on large screens, seamlessly blending entertainment with awareness. This parallel celebration ensured that the campaign's message reached a broader audience, making the launch both spirited and accessible to the entire student community.

## **5K Charity Run: Heartbeats for a Cause**



The week following the campaign launch witnessed one of the most impactful outreach events of the election cycle—the 5K Charity Run in collaboration with Ekam, FuelADream & Decathlon. Designed to blend fitness, community spirit, and social responsibility, the run attracted over 700 participants from across the IIT Madras community. The primary aim was to raise awareness and funds for Kangaroo Mother Care (KMC), supporting the Prabhaav campaign's mission to make life-saving neonatal care accessible to those in need.

### **Event Organization and Launch**

- **Date & Time:** The event commenced in the early hours at 4:30 a.m. at the Open Air Theatre (OAT), with volunteers verifying online registrations and distributing event t-shirts. Simultaneously, a separate booth facilitated on-spot registrations, ensuring

maximum inclusivity.

- **Opening Ceremony:** At around 6:00 a.m., the run was officially launched with a ribbon-cutting ceremony by Ms. Subhashree (Vice President, Ekam Foundation) and Ms. Meenakshi Anoop (Chief Election Commissioner, SEC), symbolizing the collaborative spirit of the event.
- **Pre-Run Activities:** Before the start, the Chief Election Commissioner addressed the gathering, highlighting the cause and outlining the route. A Gymkhana representative led a comprehensive warm-up session, preparing participants physically and mentally for the run.

## Route and Logistics

- **Route:**  
OAT (VIP Gate) → Gajendra Circle (GC) → Delhi Avenue → Y Junction (near Main Gate) → Bonn Avenue → Gajendra Circle (GC) → Alumni Avenue → NAC II/BT Junction → Playfield Avenue → OAT (VIP Gate)
- **Safety and Support:**
  - Security was meticulously arranged along the entire route, with multiple route marshals stationed at key junctions to guide and assist runners.
  - The Watsa Stadium and Sports Complex authorities provided markers and logistical support for route management.
  - The Institute Hospital deployed an ambulance and medical team on standby to address any emergencies, ensuring participant safety throughout the event.

## Participation and Inclusivity

- **Turnout:** Over 700 runners participated, making it one of the largest charity runs on campus. Around 500 T-shirts were distributed on a first-come first-serve basis. All participants were provided with Decathlon Coupons.
- **Diversity:** The event saw enthusiastic participation from students, faculty, staff, and even runners in the 35-and-above age category, some of whom finished among the top ranks—demonstrating the event’s broad appeal and inclusivity.
- **Recognition:**

- Medals and certificates were awarded to the top 30 finishers, with special recognition for the top 5 women participants, celebrating both achievement and gender inclusivity.
- The awards were presented by Ms. Subhashree, VP of Ekam Foundation, adding significance to the recognition.

## **On-Ground Experience**

- **During the Run:**

- The event kicked off at 5:15 a.m. with runners navigating the scenic and well-marked campus route.
- Two water stations were strategically placed to keep participants hydrated.
- Route marshals ensured smooth navigation and safety.

- **Post-Run Activities:**

- Refreshments were provided at OAT as runners completed the course, fostering camaraderie and celebration.
- The Ekam Foundation team set up a demo booth with KMC bags and dolls, allowing participants to interact with the cause firsthand—posing for photos, sharing stories, and expressing support. SEC captured vibrant moments, as participants, filled with pride and fulfillment, reflected on their contribution to a life-saving mission.



## Impact and Legacy

- **Fundraising:** The event successfully raised **Rs. 2,20,000**, each rupee symbolizing a heartbeat closer to making KMC accessible for vulnerable infants and families.

The 5K Charity Run was more than a race; it was a celebration of collective action, inclusivity, and social impact. By bringing together hundreds of participants and raising substantial funds for KMC, the event reinforced the message that every step, every heartbeat, and every rupee counts in the journey toward a more compassionate and engaged community.

## STUDENTS' GENERAL ELECTIONS 2025

The **Student General Elections 2025** officially kicked off with the **election notification released on 29th January 2025**, announcing elections for an ambitious total of **193 posts** across institute, hostel, and department levels.

The timeline that followed was:

- **Nomination Window:** Opened on **2nd February at 10:00 AM** and closed on **5th February at 10:00 PM**, inviting students from all corners of campus to step up and represent.
- **Withdrawal of Nominations:** Scheduled for **6th February**, offering candidates a moment of reflection before final commitments.
- **Valid Nominations:** Announced on **10th February at 10:00 PM**, after thorough verification.
- **Document Submission Deadline:** Candidates were given until **17th February, 10:00 PM** to submit their Manifestos, Feasibility Reports, Statement of Purpose and posters.
- **Final Nominations:** Announced on **20th February, 10:00 PM**, officially confirming the candidate list for the election cycle.

Post this was the **mandatory briefing session** held on **22nd February at 3:00 PM**, which included a **wellness segment by Dr. Arshiya Khan** from Myndwell. Acknowledging the emotional weight that elections can bring, the session was designed to equip candidates with **mental health tools, stress management techniques**, and reassurances that **support is always within reach**.

- **Campaign Period:** Officially ran from **22nd February at 5:00 PM to 28th February at 10:00 PM**, giving candidates a structured window to reach out, debate, and share their vision.
- **Soapboxes:**
  - **23rd–25th February** for **Institute posts**,

- **26th–28th February for Hostel and Department posts,** offering transparent platforms for manifesto presentation and live questioning.
- **Non-Campaign Days: 1st and 2nd March** were designated as cool-off periods.
- **Movement Restrictions:** Enforced from **2nd March, 10:00 PM to 3rd March, 10:00 PM** to ensure fair and undisturbed polling.
- **Polling Day:** Finally arrived on **3rd March**, when students exercised their most powerful right in campus democracy — the right to vote.

### **Booth Information**



The voting booths for the election were strategically set up across various locations to ensure ease of access for all students, considering factors such as proximity, accessibility, and convenience. The following booths were established:

1. **All Hostel Common Rooms**
2. **ED 112 - Engineering Design**
3. **WS 407 - Joining and Additive Manufacturing**
4. **MSB 356 - Mechanical Sciences Block**

5. **HSB 132** - Humanities and Sciences Block
  6. **ESB Open Area** - Electrical Sciences Block
  7. **G4 Quarters** - Residential Quarters
- **Ekart**

The elections spanned a comprehensive and carefully monitored timeline, marking yet another chapter in IIT Madras' commitment to **student representation, procedural integrity**, and evolving democratic values.

### **Official Declaration of Results**

The declaration of winners was announced by mail by the DoSt Office on [day, time]. The Total Voter Turnout was 47.1% (5389/11441).

Link: [attach results](#)

## Statistics

### Department-wise Statistics

Department	Total Voters	Casted Count
AE	703	290
AM	366	120
BT	646	431
CE	1059	579
CH	916	435
CS	731	298
CY	368	102
DA	168	55
ED	555	262
EE	1654	619
EP	192	125
GE	15	1
HS	438	238
ID	17	2
MA	232	91
MD	82	59
ME	1561	832
MM	482	261
MS	308	161
OE	541	254
PH	407	174

## Hostel-wise Statistics

Hostel Name	Total Voters	Casted Count
ALAKANANDA	364	264
BHADRA	381	212
BRAHMAPUTRA	400	291
CAUVERY	724	612
GANGA	374	210
GODAVARI	372	289
JAMUNA	368	262
KRISHNA	159	54
MANDAKINI A	5	0
MAHANADHI	315	180
NARMADA	374	262
PAMPA	317	123
SABARMATI	479	188
SINDHU	336	134
SHARAVATHI	551	395
SARASWATHI	376	261
SWARNAMUKHI	166	62
TAMIRAPARANI	359	209
TAPTI	404	264
TUNGA	145	66

## Program-wise Statistics

Program Name	Total Voters	Casted Count
MBA	180	129
B.Tech	4999	3275
M.Sc	411	140
Ph.D	2572	866
MA	288	196
M.Tech	2288	536
M.S	703	247

## Gender-wise Statistics

Gender	Total Voters	Casted Count
Female	2765	1155
Male	8676	4234

## Mode-wise Statistics

Voting Mode	Voters	Casted
Offline	11133	5189
Online	308	200

## STUDENT LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL SPEAKER ELECTIONS

The Student General Election 2025 led to the emergence of 34 newly elected legislators, combined from hostels, departments and M.Tech. This added to the 3 Fresher Hostel legislators, making the total electorate count 37.

The **Speaker Elections 2025** were marked by clear timelines and strong participation. With just **one post** open and 5 candidates contesting, the focus wasn't on scale but on setting a high standard for process and turnout, and it delivered exactly that.

- **Election Notification:** Released on **10th March 2025**.
- **Nomination Window:** Opened on **11th March at 10:00 AM**, and closed on **13th March at 10:00 PM**.
- **Withdrawal Deadline:** 14th March, 10:00 PM.
- **Valid Nominations Announcement:** 15th March, 10:00 PM.
- **Document Submission Deadline:** 18th March, 10:00 PM.
- **Final Nominees Declared:** 19th March, 10:00 PM.

The campaign followed a compact and high-energy timeline:

- **Campaigning Period:** From **20th March, 5:00 PM to 22nd March, 5:00 PM**.
- **Soapbox:** Held on **22nd March at 6:15 PM in Central Lecture Theatre (CLT)**, offering the final stage for articulation, questions, and conviction.
- **Polling:** Conducted the **same night - 22nd March at 8:30 PM**

The soapbox ended with the SEC Commissioner encouraging all legislators to cast their votes in the next room, where booths were set and uphold the spirit of this year's Election Campaign - Double Tap for Democracy.

The polling started at **08.40 PM** (approximately) in the vigilant presence of a booth official and the SEC members to maintain and ensure decorum in the booths.

At 9:28 p.m., we witnessed history being created, as the 37th legislator came in and cast his vote. The Speaker Elections of 2025 saw a **100% turnout of voters**, making SEC 2024-25 the **first** Commission to achieve this feat.

# **SPECIAL ELECTIONS 2025- INSTITUTE SPORTS SECRETARY 2025-26 Post**

## **Background and Vacancy Declaration**

The position of Institute Sports Secretary (ISS) 2025-26 remained vacant as of 11 March 2025 after both initial candidates were disqualified. Consequently, the post was officially declared vacant by the Student Election Commission (SEC), necessitating a fresh election to ensure uninterrupted student representation during the critical pre-Inter IIT phase.

## **Fresh Notification and Election Preparations**

On 18 March 2025, the SEC promptly issued a new notification for the Special ISS Elections. The commission prioritized accessibility and inclusivity in the polling process, setting up multiple voting booths at central, high-traffic locations across campus to maximize voter convenience:

- Student Activity Centre (SAC): Chosen for its central location and accessibility to students from both boys' and girls' hostels.
- Quark (above Zaitoon): Primarily serving students residing near Zaitoon, mostly from boys' hostels.
- Central Lecture Theatre (CLT, HSB 132): Dedicated polling station for girls' hostels including Swarnamukhi, Sharavathi, and Sabarmati.
- CFI Ground Floor: Serving students frequenting nearby Departments, NAC 1, CFI, workshops, and the nearby campus café, a popular spot during the 10 AM to 6 PM voting window.

In addition to these fixed booths, a mobile polling booth was operated using an e-kart from the Dean Students' office. This mobile booth followed a continuously updated route shared on the SEC's Instagram page, further enhancing voting accessibility for students in various campus locations.

## **Special Elections Code 2025**

The Special Institute Sports Secretary (ISS) Elections 2025-26 notably deviated from the standard election procedures followed for the Student Legislative Council (SLC) Speaker and Students' General Elections. Unlike those elections, which strictly adhered to the comprehensive Election Manual and Model Code of Conduct established by the Student Election Commission

(SEC), the ISS elections were governed by a Special Elections Code tailored specifically for this re-election scenario.

Key differences introduced in the Special Elections Code included significant modifications to the eligibility criteria and campaigning methods. The following summarizes the key additions and changes implemented to address the unique context and challenges of this special election:

## **1. Candidate Eligibility**

- **Disqualified Candidates Barred:** Any student who was disqualified or rejected by the Student Election Commission or Grievance Committee during the Students' General Election 2025 was rendered ineligible to contest in the Special Election 2025. This measure was introduced to uphold the integrity of the process and prevent repeated violations from affecting the election outcome.

## **2. Campaigning Methods and Restrictions**

### **Digital and Social Media Campaigning**

- **Strict Social Media Ban:** All forms of digital campaigning—posts, stories, reels, or videos on platforms such as Instagram, WhatsApp, Facebook, or any other digital medium—were strictly prohibited except for specific, SEC-approved materials.
- **Permitted Content:** Only the official campaign documents (approved poster, manifesto, and feasibility report) could be shared, exclusively via WhatsApp and Instagram, and only through channels explicitly allowed by the SEC.
- **Prohibited Actions:**
  - Circulating promotional images, banners, or edited visuals online to gain support.
  - Sharing promotional or self-introductory videos, or any media highlighting achievements with election intent.
  - Direct or indirect outreach (including messages, emails, or group posts) without recipient consent.
  - Mass sharing or coordinated boosting of campaign content, or encouraging others to do so.
  - Organizing online discussions, Q&A sessions, or live interactions for electoral support.

## **3. Posters and Publicity Material**

- **Poster Creation:** Not mandatory, but each candidate could submit ONE poster for publicity.

- Approval Process: Only posters submitted to and approved by the SEC were considered official. Non-candidates and voters were strictly prohibited from creating or distributing posters.
- No Physical Posters: All forms of printed or physical posters were banned. Use of such materials would result in direct disqualification.
- Truthfulness: Posters had to accurately reflect the candidate's manifesto and not misrepresent ideas.

#### **4. Video and Media Policy**

- No Video Campaigning: All forms of video campaigning were strictly prohibited. Candidates could not post or share videos or any content that could be deemed derogatory, slanderous, or libelous.

#### **5. Social Media Policy**

- Revised Guidelines:  
The Social Media Guidelines from the MCC were revised:
  - Only SEC-approved campaign materials (poster, manifesto, feasibility report) could be shared.
  - Creating new Instagram handles or using personal accounts for any other campaign-related activity was banned.
  - Any attempt to use social media, messaging apps, or online platforms for electioneering outside these provisions was a violation subject to severe sanctions.
- Supporter Conduct: Candidates were responsible for ensuring their supporters also complied with these rules. Non-candidates or voters violating the policy would face the same penalties as candidates.

#### **Polling Day Details**

- Polling Hours: Voting began at 10:00 AM and continued until 6:00 PM.
- Voter Verification and Facilitation: At each booth, officials verified voter identities and facilitated smooth casting of ballots.
- Refreshments: To support booth officials and voters, refreshments were provided throughout the day.

#### **Voter Turnout and Statistics**

Despite extensive efforts, the Special ISS Elections witnessed a record low turnout of just 10.5%, with only 1,202 votes cast out of over 11,441 eligible voters. This turnout reflected a significant drop in enthusiasm, possibly due to election fatigue or disillusionment following the earlier disqualification and vacancy.

## **Feedback**

The recent student election process received a mix of positive feedback and constructive criticism from GSB members. While many appreciated the overall organization and commended the dedication of the Election Managers, several areas were identified for improvement. A recurring concern was the lack of competition, with a significant number of uncontested posts, leading to a perceived lack of choice and democratic engagement.

Technical issues were frequently reported, including a laggy and poorly designed website, malfunctioning laptops, absence of mouse for navigation, and unresponsive scrolling—all of which hampered the voting experience. Several members noted delayed booth setup and insufficient polling counters, contributing to long queues and wait times. In addition, the use of marker pens for voting was criticized for being easily erasable, prompting suggestions for more secure alternatives. Communication around the elections was seen as inadequate; many could not access all candidate manifestos in one place and recommended broader outreach through posters and centralized platforms beyond email. Concerns were also raised regarding the lack of campaigning by unopposed candidates, making it difficult for voters to assess their competency. On a broader level, there was a call for the SEC to more actively address the spread of misinformation on social media platforms and to uphold higher standards of political integrity.

Despite these concerns, the election was largely recognized as a well-intentioned effort, and feedback reflected both a commitment to improvement and an appreciation for the efforts of those involved.

Average Rating of overall experience of SGE 2025: 3.8 (322 responses)

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This report captures the journey, challenges, and milestones of the Student Election Commission during the academic year 2024–25. From unprecedented election dynamics to impactful campaigns and collaborations, each initiative was a reflection of collective effort, integrity, and a deep commitment to the democratic process. As we close this chapter, it's important to recognize those who made this year's journey possible.

- **Team SEC**
  - Ms. Meenakshi Anoop - Chief Election Commissioner
  - Mr. Kaushik Raja P - Election Commissioner
  - Mr. Karthik Kurimindla - Election Commissioner
  - Mr. Alfid K Khader - Head of Secretariat, Design
  - Ms. Ria Hansda - Head of Secretariat, Constitution Monitoring and Operations
  - Mr. Behjad Yasar - Head of Secretariat, Events and Outreach
  - Ms. Mokshagna Teja G - Deputy Head of Secretariat, Constitution Monitoring and Operations
  - Ms. Smiti Achliya - Deputy Head of Secretariat, Design
  - Mr. Dhruv Jalan - Deputy Head of Secretariat, Events and Outreach
  - Mr. Nawin Pragasaran E - Deputy Head of Secretariat, Events and Outreach
  - Mr. Aslah Yasar - Deputy Head of Secretariat, Design



*Left to Right (R1, Sitting): Karthik, Meenakshi, Kaushik*

*Left to Right (R2, Standing): Aslah, Alfid, Mokshagna, Smiti, Ria, Behjad, Dhruv, Nawin*

- **Election Officers**

- Dr. M Jeganmohan
- Dr. Raghukanth
- Prof. Sudakar Chandran, SECC/SLC Faculty Advisor
- Prof. Sathyanarayana N Gummadi, Dean Students (DoSt)
- Prof. Mallikarjun, Chairman Council of Wardens (CCW)
- Prof. Sanyasiraju, Vice-Chairman Council of Wardens
- Mr. Sudarsan Padmanabhan, Advisor (T5E), Grievance Committee Member
- Ms. Chitrapavai, CCASH
- Academic Section
- NPTEL
- Ekam Foundation
- FuelADream
- Decathlon
- DoSt Office Staff
- Chief Security Officer
- Deputy Security Officer
- Security Section
- Usha Akka & Team
- Prime Mart

### Senior Election Managers

<b>Constitutional Monitoring and Operations</b>	
Anunit	MM24B011
Nithin G	EE24B046
Sriram Venkata Krishna	EE24B067
<b>Design</b>	
Abhishek P T	HS22H004
<b>Events</b>	
Muchala Sri Charith	CS22B052
Vishva J	MM24B057

### Election Managers

<b>Constitutional Monitoring and Operations</b>	
Abhiram	AE23B059
Ajmeera Sai Chaitanya	BE23B024
Ashwin Rajiv Dhoke	ED23B048
Danies	AM24M012
Diksha Yeole	NA24B030
Harish Srinivas G	CH23B016
Hayagriv Venkatesh	ED23B024
Mayank Singh	CH24B068
Meher Gupta	CS24B031
Mudavath Praveen Kumar	BS24B002
Nihal Kunchi	NA24B045
Nikhil Kanakam	ME24B132
Niranjana Gowrisankar	EP24B013
Param Shah	CE24B097
Prashanth Silla	BS24B027
Ramesh Yamarthi	CE22B095

Rishabh Singh	CH24B091
Sherin Thampi	MM22D001
Sreenandini M	ME24B065
Tejas Gupta	ME24B173
<b>Design</b>	
Abishek	BS24B004
Aishwarya	ME23B139
Gaganram PM	AE24B103
J Sai Kumar	NA23B033
K. Nithin	ED24B018
Kirankumar	AM24M010
Mia Goel	BE24B031
Nenaavath Yugender	NA22B055
Nikhil Velari	AE24B043
Sanskar Gunde	MM24B005
Veda Pramod	MM24B055
<b>Events</b>	
Akashraja K	MM24B020
Arya Deore	ME24B006
Deepak Meena	ED24B045
Eshwaranath	AE24B102
Himanshu Gupta	ME24B109
Hridik Gaikwad	ME24B110
Jarpula Anitha	BS23B005
John Finny	NA22B014
Kommuru Sudhanvi	ME24B122
Kubireddi Sai Krishna	AM24M013
Mourya Konathala	ED24S301
P.Praneeth	NA23B012
Pebbeti Pranavanath Reddy	ED24B020

Prahlad Seshadri	ED24B063
Sivasubramanian S	MM24B051
Soumajit Paul	HS22H073
Vinya	CH24B113
Vislavath Sunil	CE24B032
Vivekjit Das	CS24B060
Yeswanth	CH24B014
<b>Media</b>	
Avala Madhavi	BS23B009
Chandra Sidharth R	CH24B089
R Vishnu	ME23B214
<b>Outreach and PR</b>	
Ayaan Nawaz	NA24B029
Dhanush	CH24B031
Hansika Nutheti	ME24B134
K Kevin Sona	DA24B007
K.N.Mahati	ME24B030
Kavin Prasanth R	ME22B144
Kedar Patil	EE24B047
Kunal Rakhecha	CH24B065
Nitin Kumar	CH24B077
Paras Garg	MM23B056
Rishik Kodityala	ED24B014
Saswata Samanta	CE23M122
Satyam Kumar	ME23B198
Sivamanivel	ME24B163
Tejaswini Ajmera	CH24B029
V Revanth Reddy	AM24M016

